

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| 10/051,332 | 01/16/2002 | Mehmet Aslan | 50019.81USU1/P05006 | 7971 | |
| 23552 75 | 590 01/16/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 | | | GUTIERREZ, DIEGO F | | |
| | S, MN 55402-0903 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | 2859 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 01/16/200 | DATE MAIL ED: 01/16/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/051,33 | 32 | ASLAN ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Lydia M. [| e Jesús | 2859 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10/27/03</u> . | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🛛 | Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| , | Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) 14 and 19 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>13-18 and 20-22</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| • | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Finalism Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Finalism Disclosure Statement(s) | | | v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/051,332

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. The indicated allowability of claims 14 and 19 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Aslan. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are allowed.
- 3. Claims 15-18 and 20-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 14 and 19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20-22 of U.S. Patent No. 6,480,127.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the structural limitations recited in claim 19 of the present application are covered by the limitations of claims 20-22 of the patent and the method steps recited in claim 14 correspond to the method of operation of the apparatus recited in claims 20-22 of said patent.



Art Unit: 2859

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lydia M. De Jesús whose telephone number is (703) 306-5982. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (703) 308-3875. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Diego F.F. Gutierrez

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

LDJ January 8, 2004